

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,261	04/21/2005	Patrick A.C. Gane	68219/25	8366	
1912	1912 7590 06/28/2007 AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP			EXAMINER	
90 PARK AVENUE			HARLAN, ROBERT D		
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1713	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,261	GANE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert D. Harlan	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		MONITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
——————————————————————————————————————	his action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/ 	or election requirement				
op Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/	or disculor requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	Tection is required it the drawing Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
ine oath or declaration is objected to by the	LAMITHEE. HOLE THE ALLACH	50 5			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· •				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the paper in the international But 		M 10061400 III tills 14dtiolidi Otogo			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.			
OGE THE ATTACHED DETAILED OTHER ACTION OF A					
		·			
Attachment(s)	4\ \ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/532,261 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16 and 27-33, drawn to aqueous suspension or process for use.

Groups II, claim(s) 17-26, drawn to grinding agent.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the groups have two different technical features one has an aqueous suspension and the other a grinding agent.
- 3. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single group to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,261

Art Unit: 1713

4. A telephone call was made to Craig Arnold on 06/22/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,261

Art Unit: 1713

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,261 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh